MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

350 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE 50TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071-3426 TELEPHONE (213) 683-9100 FACSIMILE (213) 687-3702

January 30, 2025

The Honorable John G. Koeltl United States District Judge Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007-1312 The Rainty should file reducted Copies and the record, 50 orches.

Re: Yipit LLC d/b/a YipitData v. Emmett et al.; No. 1:24-cv-07854-JGK Motion to Seal Documents

Dear Judge Koeltl:

Yipit, LLC d/b/a YipitData respectfully submits this letter motion to seal Yipit's concurrently filed motion for a preliminary injunction against M Science, LLC; Michael Marrale; and Valentin Roduit as well as exhibits 2 and 3 of the associated declaration of Taylor Benninger.

Those documents contain Yipit's highly confidential, competitively sensitive business information that, if publicly disclosed, could harm Yipit's competitive standing. In particular, each document discloses (1) specific, competitively sensitive details about Yipit's products, pricing, and data sources, which would provide competitors with exploitable insights into Yipit's business practices of revealed publicly; and (2) the names and identifying information of several of Yipit's investor customers, whose identities must remain confidential in order to protect the customers' competitive interest in safeguarding the sources of their information.

Sealing is justified under the standard set forth in Lugosch v. Pyramid Co. of Onondaga, 435 F.3d 110 (2d Cir. 2006). Yipit has a compelling interest in protecting its commercially sensitive and confidential business information, see Standard Inv. Chartered v. Fin. Indus. Regulatory Auth., Ind., 347 F. App'x 615, 617 (2d Cir. 2009), and courts routinely grant motions to seal documents disclosing specific, confidential information about a business's customers, products, and pricing, see, e.g., Hypnotic Hats, Ltd. v. Wintermantel Enter., LLC, 335 F. Supp. 2d 566, 600 (S.D.N.Y. 2018); Kewazinga Corp. v. Microsoft Corp., No. 18-CV-4500 (GHW), 2021 WL 122122, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 31, 2021). Indeed, this Court has granted nearly identical requests to seal documents containing much of the same information underlying this motion. See Dkt. Nos. 65, 73.

Further, this motion to seal is narrowly tailored to preserve the public's interest in access to court records. Yipit has publicly filed a version of its motion for a preliminary injunction that narrowly redacts only the portions that contain Yipit's highly confidential, competitively sensitive business information. See, e.g., Toretto v. Donnelley Fin. Sols., Inc., 583 F. Supp. 3d 570, 608 (S.D.N.Y. 2022) (granting motion to seal because "release of the information contained in the [document] could cause competitive harm to Defendants, and because the proposed redactions are narrowly tailored to protect only this sensitive business information"); KeyBank

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

The Honorable John G. Koeltl January 30, 2025 Page 2

Nat'l Assn. v. Element Transp. LLC, No. 16-CV-8958, 2017 WL 384875, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 26, 2017) (granting motion to seal where the movant "narrowly tailored their redactions, strictly limiting them to the portions of the [document]" containing confidential information). Yipit requests to seal the entirety of exhibits 2 and 3 of the declaration of Taylor Benninger because substantial portions of these documents contain Yipit's highly confidential, competitively sensitive business information. "Given the intertwining of public and non-public information in" exhibits 2 and 3, "redaction would be cumbersome and largely impractical." In re Grand Jury Subpoenas Dated Mar. 2, 2015, No. 15-MC-71 (VEC), 2016 WL 6126392, at *5 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 19, 2016).

Accordingly, the motion to seal should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Laura D. Smolowe

Attorney for Plaintiff Yipit